IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 26 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Smt. Seema wife of Shri Aduard Mathew
2. Aduard Mathew s/o George Mathew
   both resident of 961, Sec. VI, Bahadurgarh, Haryana
3. George Mathew s/o Shri G. L. Mathew
   r/o New Type II, B-9/12, O.F.D. Estate, Raipur,
   Dehradun.
4. Anil Mathew s/o Shri Georege Mathew
   r/o B-34-D, Shivangi Kunj, Paschim Puri,
   New Delhi …….. Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
2. Smt. Irin Mathew
   through Smt. Shanty Portion,
   Village Kitla, Post Office Morodi,
   Tehsil and District Champawat …… Respondents

Sri Bhupendra Bisht, Advocate on behalf of Sri L.K.Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri H.C.Pande, A.G.A. for respondent no. 1.
None for respondent no. 2.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Sri Bhupendra Bisht, Advocate on behalf of Sri L.K.Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri H.C.Pande, A.G.A. for respondent no. 1.

None for respondent no. 2.

2. Sri Pande, the learned Additional Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners - Smt. Seema, Aduard Mathew, George Mathew and Anil Mathew seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them now has become infructuous as the police after the completion of the investigation has filed charge-sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Court.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. Consequently, the interim order dated 12.01.2006 stands vacated automatically.

4. With the above order, CLMA No. 155 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)**
10.07.2006 10.07.2006

A